[10th January 1950

Profit earned by the Chingleput District Wholesale Co-operative Stores.

* 105 *Q.*—DR. P. S. SRINIVASAN: Will the Hon. the Minister for Food be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that of the net profits earned by all the District Wholesale Co-operative Stores in the Province the net profit earned by the Chingleput Stores for the year 1947–48 was the largest; and

(*b*) whether the same is due to the large difference in the procurement and selling prices of paddy and rice?

THE HON. SRI J. L. P. ROCHE VICTORIA :—" (*a*) Yes.

" (*b*) No. The profit earned in 1947–48 by procurement was Rs. 1,28,270–2–0. Of this amount Rs. 94,824 related to transactions in 1946-47 which however came to be adjusted in the accounts of 1947–48. The balance of Rs. 33,446–2–0 alone represented the profit on about 13,950 tons procured by the Stores in 1947–48 which works out to about one anna six pies per maund. The Stores strictly adhered to the procurement and sale prices fixed by the Government."

Receipts and expenditure for the Andhra and the Residuary Provinces.

* 95-A *Q.*—SRI N. SANKARA REDDI (on behalf of Sri O. P. Ramaswami Reddiyar): Will the Hon. the Premier be pleased to state the income and expenditure including income-tax and sales tax under different heads for—

(i) the whole of the proposed Andhra Province, district-war; and

(ii) the rest of the Province of Madras, district-war?

THE HON. SRI B. GOPALA REDDI (on behalf of Hon. the Premier) :—" A statement [a] giving the available information for the year 1948–49 is placed on the table of the House. The figures of direct receipts and expenditure for the three taluks of Adoni, Alur and Rayadrug of the Bellary district have been assumed to be one-third of those for the district. The figures given in the statement will require adjustments for various purposes, to arrive at a normal forecast of the financial position of the proposed Andhra Province and the residuary Province."

3 p.m. SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" May I know, Sir, whether final adjustments had not been made when the Partition Committee met?"

THE HON. SRI B. GOPALA REDDI :—" We went on the basis of the accounts for 1948–49. We are now at the fag end of 1949–50 and so it makes little difference."

SRI R. SURYANARAYANA RAO :—" My question was whether final adjustments were made when the Partition Committee

---

[a] Printed as Appendix IV on pages 1096–1101 *infra*.

reported to the Government of Madras and whether the figures furnished in the statement placed on the table of the House were available to the Committee? "

THE HON. SRI B. GOPALA REDDI :—" It was only a forecast for the proposed Andhra Province and was naturally based on the accounts for 1948–49 and no adjustments were made either by the Partition Committee or the Government of Madras."

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY.

MR. PRESIDENT :—" I have to announce to the House that I have received the following message from the Hon. the Speaker of the Madras Legislative Assembly, dated 9th January 1950 :—

' In accordance with rule 94 of the Madras Assembly Rules, I transmit a copy of the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1949 (L.A. Bill No. 1 of 1950), as passed by the Assembly on the 9th January 1950, and signed by me for the concurrence of the Council '."

III.—MESSAGE FROM HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

I have also to announce to the House that I have received the following messages from His Excellency the Governor of Madras, dated 10th December 1949 :—

' In pursuance of section 299, sub-section (3) of the Government of India Act, 1935, I, Krishna Kumarsinhji Bhavsinhji, Governor of Madras, hereby accord my previous sanction for the introduction of the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1949, in the Madras Legislative Council.

' In pursuance of section 82, sub-section (3) of the Government of India Act, 1935, I, Krishna Kumarsinhji Bhavsinhji, Governor of Madras, hereby recommend to the Madras Legislative Council the consideration of the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1949 '."

IV.—GOVERNMENT BILL.

THE MADRAS ESTATES (ABOLITION AND CONVERSION INTO RYOTWARI) AMENDMENT BILL, 1949 (L.A. BILL NO. 1 OF 1950).

* THE HON. SRI H. SITARAMA REDDI :—" Mr. President, Sir, I move—

' *that the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Bill, 1949 (L.A. Bill No. 1 of 1950), as passed by the Legislative Assembly, be taken into consideration at once.*'

Sir, under the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948, as soon as an estate is notified the estate has to be surveyed and then settled in accordance with ryotwari